



Original article

Motor and morphological profile of tennis players from 11 to 15 years old



J.L. Schluga Filho^b, M. Romanovitch Ribas^{a,b}, L. de Oliveira Nogueira^b, C. de Andrade Jr.^a, P. Fernandes^b, J.C. Bassan^{a,c,*}

^a Programa de Pós-graduação em Engenharia Biomédica (PPGEB – UTFPR), Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil

^b Laboratório de Bioquímica e Fisiologia do Exercício, Faculdade Dom Bosco, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil

^c Laboratório Bioquímico e Densitométrico (LABDEN), Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 January 2014

Accepted 13 November 2014

Keywords:

Tennis
Anthropometry
Anaerobic potency
Physical education

Palabras clave:

Tenis
Antropometría
Potencia anaeróbica
Educación Física

ABSTRACT

Objective: Determine the motor and morphological characteristics of amateur tennis players from 11 to 15 years old.

Method: 11 male athletes from the Paranaense Tennis Federation were evaluated during the competition period. Anthropometric variables (total body mass, height, circumference and skinfolds) were assessed. **Results:** The following median values were obtained: 45.8 kg of total body mass, 160 cm of height, fat percentage of 14%, lean mass of 37 kg, and fat mass of 5 kg.

Conclusion: The study concluded that athletes show a profile of body composition similar to the profile of tennis players of the same age.

© 2015 Consejería de Turismo y Deporte de la Junta de Andalucía. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Perfil motor y morfológico de jugadores de tenis de 11 a 15 años de edad

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Determinar las características morfológicas y motoras de jugadores aficionados de tenis de edades de 11 a 15 años.

Método: Fueron evaluados 11 atletas masculinos, afiliados a la Federación Paranaense de tenis, en periodo de competición. Se midieron las siguientes variables antropométricas: masa corporal total, altura, perímetros y pliegues cutáneos.

Resultados: Se obtuvieron los siguientes valores medianas: masa corporal total 45.8 kg; estatura 160 cm; 14% de masa grasa, 37 kg de masa magra y 5 kg de masa grasa.

Conclusión: Los atletas valorados en el presente estudio tienen un perfil de composición corporal similares al perfil de los jugadores de tenis de la misma edad.

© 2015 Consejería de Turismo y Deporte de la Junta de Andalucía. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jcbassan@utfpr.edu.br (J.C. Bassan).

Profile motor and morphological tennis players of the type of field of 11 to 15 years

R E S U M O

Palavras-chave:

Tênis
Antropometria
Potência anaeróbia
Educação Física

Objetivo: Determinar as características morfológicas e motoras de atletas amadores de tênis com idade de 11 a 15 anos.

Método: Foram avaliados 11 atletas do sexo masculino, inscritos na Federação Paranaense de Tênis em um período de competição. Os atletas foram avaliados para as variáveis antropométricas: massa corporal total, altura, circunferência e dobras cutâneas.

Resultados: Obtiveram os seguintes valores médios: 45.8 kg para massa corporal total, 160 centímetros de altura, percentual de gordura de 14%, em massa magra de 37 kg, e de massa de gordura de 5 kg.

Conclusão: O estudo concluiu que os atletas mostram um perfil de composição corporal semelhante ao perfil dos jogadores de tênis da mesma idade.

© 2015 Consejería de Turismo y Deporte de la Junta de Andalucía. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

The modality of field tennis is in constant growth and development in our country, and this ascension is due to the arising of Brazilian tennis players with international relevance like Gustavo Kuerten, from Santa Catarina. He has three Grand Slams awards, that was in Roland Garros and various Masters Series awards, making him first place in the Mundial Ranking of Association of Professionals Tennis Player (ATP) in December 2000.¹ The practice of field tennis can be classified as intermittent effort during the game, respecting the energy supply.² Therefore, it is suggested that what happens is a mixture of the aerobic and anaerobe energetic systems for energy supply.³

Moreover, the athlete's performance in a match requires physical abilities that allow him to make sudden and fast stops as well as to change direction. That happens due to the constant exchange of balls,⁴ that occurs in matches that the lasting time can change between 60 and 300 min.² Observing the behavior of the morphological variables over the perimeters of the right side of the body compared to the left side, different muscle asymmetries can be developed.⁵

Such matter is justified by the specificity of the modality that prioritizes the sidedness that can develop undesirable imbalances in long term such as increasing of strength and hypertrophy induced by sport, evolving to recurrent injuries or diminishing the functional capacity.⁶

Regarding the body fat percentage, when it is reduced it can be advantageous for the those who practice tennis due to the movements in court, very often being executed in bursting movements that require good levels of agility and velocity.⁷ It is important to point out that the explosive strength in the legs is imperative to obtain a good capacity of acceleration while the fast strength in the upper limbs is necessary to hit the ball hard.⁴

Having in mind that are only a few studies made with adolescent athletes, it is necessary to investigate the motor and morphological profile of these athletes in order to detect ideal patterns to the practice the modality. Determine the morphological characteristics of a amateur field tennis athlete with age between 11 and 15 years old.

Method

This research is a transversal study that consists in 11 male individuals, with ages between 11 and 15 years old, amateur tennis players registered at the Federação Paranaense de Tênis, in competition period in Paraná. Such athletes had a routine of two and

a half hours of daily practice. The search for the information happened in August, 2013, by a physical education professional, that belongs to a group of the Laboratório de Bioquímica e Fisiologia do Exercício da Faculdade Dom Bosco. The athletes were evaluated in pre-established days, for that were used the club installations, where the boys used to practice, those installations had the necessary infrastructure. In order to obtain a more homogeneous group, some exclusion criteria were adopted: (a) athletes who presented muscle and joint injuries during the evaluation process; (b) athletes who use medication that could affect the responses during physiological tests; (c) athletes who refused to attend the research. All participants signed the Informed Consent according to the CNS Resolution 466/12. This research has been approved by the Committee of Research Ethics at Dom Bosco College, under the CAAE – 0084.0.301.000-11.

The anthropometric evaluation was composed by measurements of total body mass (MCT), total height (ESTT), circumference, triceps skinfold (DCT), subscapular skinfold (DCSE) and suprailiac skinfold (DCSI). The MCT was measured in an anthropometric scale (Tanita Bf-680w digital monitor) with 100 grams resolution; the ESTT was determined with a digital stadiometer (Seca®, Hamburg, Germany) with measure from 0 to 220 cm, 0.1 cm resolution, considering as the final result the arithmetic mean of three consecutive measurements, with the individuals with no shoes and light clothes.

The skinfolds (DCT and DCSE) were measured three times with an adipometer (Lange®, Beta Technology Incorporated, Cambridge, USA) with scale from 0 to 60 mm, 0.1 mm resolution, in the right hemibody, considering the final result as the arithmetic mean of the measurements. The DCT was obtained vertically in the midpoint of the length of the right arm between the acromion and the olecranon. The body adiposity was estimated by the pubescent equation, such protocol is recommended to kids and adolescents from 11 to 15 years old.⁸

$$\text{Pre-pubescent} = G\% = 1.21 (S) - 0.008 (S)^2 - 1.7$$

$$\text{Pubescent} = G\% = 1.21 (S) - 0.008 (S)^2 - 3.4$$

$$\text{Post-pubescent} = G\% = 1.21 (S) - 0.008 (S)^2 - 5.5$$

S = sum of folds: triceps and subscapular.

To evaluate the perimeter, it was used the anthropometric tape (Cescorf) with 2 m of length and 0.1 cm resolution. The following perimeters were evaluated: relaxed arm, contracted arm, forearm, thorax in inspiration position, thorax in expiration position, waist, abdomen, hip, proximal thigh, medial thigh, distal thigh and calf.⁹

The stage of development of the external genitalia was evaluated through the test,¹⁰ in which athletes were individually evaluated based on self-evaluation in a room where photos displayed where it was presented peculiar sexual characteristics to each development stage. They were classified in:

Classification	Stage	Genitals	Pubic hair
Pre-pubescent	I	Penis, testicles and scrotum with infant size and proportion.	Absence of pubic hair. It is possible to notice some thin hair similar to those observed in the abdomen.
Pubescent	II	Initial increase of testicular volume (>4 ml). Scrotum skin changes the texture and becomes reddish. Increase of the minimum or absent penis.	Long and thin hair appear, lightly pigmented, straight or a little curly, mainly on the base of the penis.
	III	Penile growth, especially in length. Growth of testicles and scrotum.	More hair, now thicker, darker and curlier, spread through the pubic symphysis.
	IV	The penile growth continues, now in diameter, and with a bigger development of the glans. The growth of testicles and scrotum increases, and the skin becomes more pigmented.	Adult-like hair, covering more densely the pubic area, but not in the inner part of thighs.
Post-pubescent	X	Complete development of the genitalia, with adult size and shape.	Pubic hairiness similar to an adult, in quantity and distribution, going up to the inner thighs.

Characteristics of the stages of sexual maturation (adapted from Chipkevitch¹¹).

The data obtained through the research was analyzed using the software BioStat 5.0 and presented in median, first, third quartile and inter-quartile deviation. For the analysis of the normality of the samples the experimental variables were subjected to the test of normality of Shapiro–Wilk in which it was noticed that the overall averages of athletes do not come from a normal population. To compare the circumferences it was used the Mann–Whitney test, used in a significance level of ($p \leq 0.05$).

Results

Table 1 presents the values of median, first and second quartile and the inter-quartile deviation of the total body mass, structure, percentage of fat, fat mass, lean body mass, triceps skinfold, subscapular skinfold, suprailiac skinfold, and the sum of the four

Table 1
Anthropometric characteristics of tennis players ($n = 11$).

Variable	Median	1st quartile (25%)	2nd quartile (75%)	Inter-quartile deviation
Body mass (kg)	45.8	39.3	52.15	12.85
Stature (cm)	160	148	171	0.23
% fat	14	10.5	18.5	8
FM (kg)	5	4.5	9	4.5
LBM (kg)	37	31.5	46	14.5
<i>SFT (mm)</i>				
Triceps	7	5	9.5	4.5
Subscapular	7	6.5	8.5	2
Suprailiac	6	4.5	8.5	4.0
$\sum 3SF$	20	16	26.50	10.5
Median $\sum 3SF$	7	5.50	8.50	4.0

FM = fat mass; LBM = lean body mass; SFT = skinfold thickness; $\sum 3SF$ = sum of thickness of the three skinfolds.

skinfolds of the 11 field tennis athletes between 11 and 15 years old.

Table 2 presents the numbers of the median, first and second quartile and the inter-quartile deviation of the perimeters and the agility test of the 11 tennis players between 11 and 15 years old, with no significant difference ($p \geq 0.05$).

Table 3 is comparing results of the presented study in relation to the results obtained in others studies involving tennis players.

Discussion

The athletes included in the test are respectively in the following stages of sexual maturation: 4 in Stage II, 1 in Stage III, 2 in Stage IV, and 4 athletes in Stage V. Regarding the maturation stages, it is possible to find differences in physical aptitudes, such as strength, speed, absolute aerobic potency, adiposity, body weight, height of youngsters with same age and same biological maturation, in this case puberty, due to the fact that each individual has his own biological time and rhythm.^{12,13}

The study of the anthropometric profile of athletes aims to determine a specific morphology for each sport modality.¹⁴ In the current research, tennis players displayed an average value for body mass of 45.8 kg and height of 160 cm. In the study of the anthropometric features,¹⁵ evaluated 75 tennis players with average age of 16.2 ± 0.4 years old, junior tennis players who competed in the Junior Davis Cup and concluded that the body mass was 69.9 ± 6.8 kg and the height was 176.8 ± 6.4 cm. American young athletes were studied by Kovacs et al.,¹⁶ in which researchers obtained body mass values of 76.81 ± 2.23 kg and height of 183.70 ± 2.27 cm, and these numbers were superior to this research.

In a study that took place to determine the anthropometric profile of Brazilian tennis players from 11 to 14 years old,¹⁷ reported an average body mass of 54.60 kg and height of 164 cm, showing superior values compared to this research. However,⁵ were evaluated 29 tennis players with average age of 14 years old and the average measurement showed a body mass of 53.5 kg and height of 162 cm, similar numbers to this research. In congruence,¹⁸ when observing Spanish young tennis players, reported a body mass of 40.36 ± 5.57 kg and height of 147.7 ± 0.1 cm, which corroborate this current study. It is realized that the body mass in puberty is allometric, what means that it increases proportionally to the height.¹²

Regarding the fat percentage this one could compromise the sportive performance once the fat mass can diminish some physical capacities such as agility.¹⁹ The fat percentage was evaluated in the study of Karnia et al.²⁰ in a group composed of 16 tennis players between 15 and 17 years old, in which was verified 9.7% of fat percentage, corroborating the studies of Kovacs et al.¹⁶ with junior

Table 2Perimeters of the right side and left side and agility test of tennis players ($n = 11$).

Variable	Median	1st quartile (25%)	2nd quartile (75%)	Inter-quartile deviation
RAF	24.0	21.5	24.5	3.0
LAF	24.0	21.0	24.0	3.0
RAR	21.0	20.0	22.0	2.0
LAR	21.0	19.5	22.0	2.5
RF	21.0	20.0	22.0	2.0
LF	20.0	19.0	22.0	3.0
RMT	42.0	39.5	43.5	4.0
LMT	43.0	40.0	44.0	4.0
RPT	45.5	43.0	49.5	6.5
LPT	46.0	42.5	47.8	5.3
RDT	36.0	34.3	37.8	3.5
LDT	36.5	35.3	38.0	3.8
Right Leg	31.0	29.0	33.0	4.0
Left Leg	31.0	29.0	33.0	4.0
Thorax	72.0	68.5	73.5	5.0
Thorax inspiration	76.0	71.5	79.0	7.5
Thorax expiration	70.0	67.0	72.5	5.5
Waist	64.0	60.0	66.0	6.0
Abdomen	65.0	62.5	69.5	7.0
Hip	81.0	77.0	84.5	7.5
<i>Bio-motor test</i>				
Agility (s)	7.0	6.5	8.0	1.5

RAF = right arm flexed; LAF = left arm flexed; RAR = right arm relaxed; LAR = left arm relaxed; RF = right forearm; LF = left forearm; RMT = right medial thigh; LMT = left medial thigh; RPT = right proximal thigh; LPT = left proximal thigh; RDT = right distal thigh; LDT = left distal thigh; all perimeters in cm; agility = seconds (s).

Table 3Corporal composition of tennis players of many studies (values presented in average \pm standard deviation).

Reference	Athletes	N	Age (years)	Body mass (kg)	% Fat	Method	Skinfold equation	Measure
This study	Brazilian tennis players	11	11–15	48.8	14	SFT	Lohman	Lange
Luque et al. ²¹	Spanish tennis players	47	14–16	66.13 \pm 10.58	24.03 \pm 0.47	SFT	–	Holtain
Kovacs et al. ¹⁶	American tennis players	8	College	76.81 \pm 2.23	7.71 \pm 1.48	–	–	–
Sánchez et al. ¹⁵	Spanish tennis players	12	16.4 \pm 0.4	70.4 \pm 6.1	15.2 \pm 2.4	SFT	Durnin and womersley	Holtain
Gomes et al. ³	Brazilian tennis players	24	18 \pm 1.4	69.5 \pm 9.8	13.8 \pm 5.5	SFT	Guedes	Cescorf
		PRO = 9AM = 15		66.0 \pm 5.0	13.7 \pm 2.4			
Karnia et al. ²⁰	Polish tennis player	16	15	66.0 \pm 14.8	9.7 \pm 3.10	BIOPD	–	InBody720
			17	68.3 \pm 11.2	8.9 \pm 2.10			
Berdejo et al. ¹⁸	Spanish tennis player	7	11.58 \pm 0.39	42.2 \pm 8.05	21.27 \pm 6.85	DXA	–	–
Cócoro et al. ¹⁷	Brazilian tennis player	20	11–14	54.29 \pm 11.33	18.33 \pm 5.94	SFT	Slaughter	Lange

DXA = dual-energy absorptiometry; SFT = skinfold test; BIOBP = bipedal bio impedance.

American athletes whose percentage was $7.71 \pm 1.48\%$. However,³ evaluated 24 tennis players who were 18 years old and reported 13.8%. The researchers¹⁷ reported in their research a value of 18.33% for Brazilian tennis players between 11 and 14 years old, a number very close to those in this current study. Furthermore,⁷ came to the conclusion that the low level of body fat can be interesting for the tennis player because they can achieve good levels of speed and agility in order to beat their opponents.

Regarding the thickness of skinfolds on Table 2, the suprailiac skinfold presented the lowest median values – 6 mm. In the study of Sánchez-Muñoz et al.,¹⁵ junior tennis players in the Davis Cup presented the suprailiac skinfold with higher thickness, with values of 12.9 ± 4.5 , different from the present study. Regarding the lean mass,¹⁵ in an evaluation of tennis players in the Junior Davis Cup, found values for lean mass of $46.7\% \pm 1.9\%$. By analyzing the body composition of Spanish tennis players who were 10.83 ± 0.39 years old, they calculated a percentage of lean mass of 72.63 ± 6.52 , showing lower values from this study. In a study,²¹ 47 tennis players were observed with ages from 14 to 16 in which was found a lean mass of 45.22 ± 2.93 kg. It is perceived that the lean mass is influenced by the maturation development due to the age.²² Nevertheless, there is the need of consider that the difference of lean mass, cultural differences, training, nutrition and environment can induce to alterations in this variable.²³

Regarding the perimeters (Table 3) it is noteworthy that tennis promotes a hypertrophy of the dominant hemisphere of the body, and this can cause future injuries in the non-dominant hemisphere due to disuse.⁵ In the current study, the perimeters that presented differences between dominant and non-dominant body hemispheres were the forearm and the medial thigh – 4.76% and 2.32% larger. In a study lead¹⁷ with tennis athletes, the authors observed a difference in the dominant forearm compared to the non-dominant – 6.3% larger. One-sided modalities can generate musculoskeletal adaptations that provide improvement in the athletic performance, but can also, due to repetitive movements and overcharge, cause unevenness that will promote harmful imbalances to the tennis player's posture.^{24,25}

In conclusion, this study presented a body profile similar to tennis players of the same age. Regarding the total body mass, the adolescents presented median values of 45.8 kg, and 160 cm of height, with a fat percentage of 14% and lean body mass of 37 kg. A limiting point in this study was the size of the evaluated group, so it is suggested new researches with a bigger “n” sample as well as female individuals.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Hirota BV, Hayashi HD, Marco A, Verardi LEC. A influência da orientação motivacional durante o treinamento de atletas iniciantes no tênis de campo. *Revista Mackenzie de Educação Física e Esporte*. 2011;10:11–20.
2. Fernandez J, Mendez-Villanueva A, Pluim BM. Intensity of tennis match play. *Br J Sports Med*. 2006;40:387–91.
3. Gomes VR, Ribeiro LMS, Veibig FR, Aoki SM. Food intake and anthropometric profile of amateur and professional tennis players. *Rev Bras Med Esporte*. 2009;15:436–40.
4. Mahn AP, Gavião DBM. A influência do treinamento resistido em atletas de tênis de campo. *Rev Bras Ciênc Saúd*. 2010;8:3–7.
5. Rezende FN, de Paula Lana R, de Paula-Santana H, Diego-de-Souza F, Oliveira Souza A, Correia da Silva B. Avaliação da força máxima de preensão palmar de ambos os membros em diferentes categorias do tênis de campo. *Arquivos de Ciências do Esporte*. 2013;1:31–6.
6. Abrahão ARM, Daniele Mello D. Diferenças Antropométricas entre o Hemi-corpo direito e o esquerdo de Adultos Instrutores de Tênis e Crianças iniciantes no Esporte e Incidência de Desvios Posturais. *Fit Perf J*. 2008;4:264–70.
7. Juzwiak CR, Amancio OM, Vitale MS, Pinheiro MM, Szejnfeld VL. Body composition and nutritional profile of male adolescent tennis players. *J Sports Sci*. 2008;26:1209–17.
8. Lohman TG. Applicability of body composition techniques and constants for children and youths. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*. 1986;14:325–57.
9. Calaway CN, Chumlea WC, Bouchard C, Himes JH, Lohman TG, Martini AD. *Anthropometric standardization reference manual*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics Books; 1988.
10. Tanner J. *Growth and adolescence*. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publication; 1962.
11. Chipkevitch E. Avaliação clínica da maturação sexual na adolescência. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2001;77 Supl 2:135–42.
12. Borges FS, Matsudo SMM, Matsudo VKR. Anthropometric and metabolic profile in puberty boys adolescents with at same chronological age at different level of sexual maturation. *Rev Bras Ciência Mov*. 2004;4:7–12.
13. Ferrari GLM, Silva LJ, Ceschini FL, Oliveira LC, Andrade DR, Matsudo VKR. Influência da maturação sexual na aptidão física em escolares do sexo masculino de Ilhabela, um estudo longitudinal. *Rev Bras Ativ Física Saúde*. 2008;3:141–8.
14. Venkata RY, Surya MVLK, Sudhakar SR, Balakrishna N. Effect of changes in body composition profile on VO_{2max} and maximal work performance in athletes. *J Exerc Physiol*. 2004;7:34–9.
15. Sánchez-Muñoz C, Sanz D, Zabala M. Anthropometric characteristics, body composition and somatotype of elite junior tennis players. *Br J Sports Med*. 2007;41:793–9.
16. Kovacs MS, Pritchett R, Wickwire PJ, Green JM, Bishop P. Physical performance changes after unsupervised training during the autumn/spring semester break in competitive tennis players. *Br J Sports Med*. 2007;41:705–10.
17. Cócara ES, Priore SE, Costa RF, Fisberg M. Food intake and anthropometric profile of adolescent tennis players. *Nutrire Rev Soc Bras Alim Nutr J*. 2012;37:293–308.
18. Berdejo-del-Fresno D, Vicente-Rodríguez G, González-Ravé JM, Moreno LA, Rey-López JP. Body composition and fitness in elite Spanish children tennis players. *J Hum Sports Exerc*. 2010;5:250–64.
19. Coelho B, Azevedo C, Bressan E, Gandelini J, Gerbelli N, Cavignato P, et al. Perfil nutricional e análise comparativa dos hábitos alimentares e estado nutricional de atletas profissionais de basquete, karatê, tenis de mesa e voleibol. *Rev Bras Nutr Esp*. 2009;3:570–7.
20. Karnia M, Garszka T, Rynkiewicz M, Rynkiewicz T, Żurek P, Luszczuk M, et al. Physical performance body composition and body balance in relation to national ranking positions in young polish tennis players. *Baltic J Healtg Phys Activ*. 2010;2:113–23.
21. Torres-Luque G, Alacid-Cárceles F, Villaverde-Gutiérrez C, Ferragut-Fiol C. Estudio Cineantropométrico del Jugador de Tênis Adolescente. *Cult Cien Deport*. 2006;4:27–32.
22. Damsgaard R, Bencke J, Matthiesen G, Petersen JH, Müller J. Body proportions, body composition and pubertal development of children competitive sports. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2001;11:54–60.
23. Prestes J, Leite RD, dos Santos Leite G, Fedrizzi Donatto F, Bertoldo Urtato C, Bartolomeu Neto J, et al. Anthropometric characteristics of Brazilian young swimmers in different competitive categories. *Rev Bras Cineantropom Desempenho Hum*. 2006;8:25–31.
24. Silva LRV. Avaliação da flexibilidade e análise postural em atletas de ginástica rítmica desportiva flexibilidade e postura na ginástica rítmica: *Revista Mackenzie de Educação Física e Esporte*. São Paulo. 2008;1:59–68.
25. Bastos FN, Pastre CM, Netto JJ, Vanderlei LCM, Carvalho FG, Hoshi RA. Correlação entre padrão postural em jovens praticantes do atletismo. *Rev Bras Med Esporte*. 2009;6:432–5.