

Original

Revista Andaluza de **Medicina del Deporte** Rev Andal Med Deporte. 2014;7(3):106-10

www.elsevier.es/ramd



ARTÍCULO EN INGLÉS

Physical fitness and anthropometric characteristics in professional soccer players of the United Arab Emirates

M. Magalhães Sales^{a,b}, R. A. Vieira Browne^c, R. Yukio Asano^d, R. dos Reis Vieira Olher^a, J. F. Vila Nova^{d,e}, Moraes^e and H. G. Simões^a.

^aCatholic University of Brasília. Universidade católica de Brasília - UCB. Taguatinga, DF. Brazil. ^bUDF - Centro Universitário - UDF. Brasília, DF. Brazil. ^cUniversidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte - UFRN. Natal, RN. Brazil. ^dUniversidade de Mogi das Cruzes - UMC. Mogi das Cruzes, SP. Brazil ^eUniversidade Federal do Vale do São Francisco - UNIVASF. Petrolina, PE. Brazil. ^cFederal University of Vale do São Francisco. Petrolina, PE. Brazil.

History of the article: Received August 25, 2012 Accepted November 28, 2013

Keywords: Soccer. Physical fitness. Ventilatory threshold. RAST test. VO₂max.

Palabras clave: Fútbol. Aptitud física. Umbral ventilatorio. Test RAST. VO_{2máx.}

Correspondence: M. Magalhães Sales. *E-mail:* marcelomagalhaessales@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objective. To describe the anthropometric and physical fitness profiles of elite soccer players acting in the United Arab Emirates.

Method. Twenty seven elite soccer players acting in the United Arab Emirates were underwent to an anthropometric evaluation, an incremental test in treadmill and performed the Running-Based Anaerobic Test (RAST).

Results. Body mass index and body fat percentage of the athletes were 23.1 ± 2.0 kg.m⁻² 11.6 ± 2.1 %, respectively. Indirect maximum oxygen uptake (VO₂max) was 62.3 ± 5.1 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹, mean velocity at VO₂max was 17.6 \pm 1.5 km.h⁻¹, ventilatory threshold (VT) of 13.8 \pm 0.8 km.h⁻¹, heart rate at VT of 173.1 \pm 8.6 b.min⁻¹, which represents of 91.2 \pm 2.8 % of maximum heart rate. RAST resulted in a maximum absolute power of 551.9 \pm 73.0 W, maximum relative power of 7.8 \pm 0.4 W.kg⁻¹, mean absolute power of 484.0 \pm 57.8 W, and mean relative power of 6.8 \pm 0.2 W.kg⁻¹.

Conclusion. The anthropometric profile of soccer players that act in the United Arab Emirates is similar to others around the world. However, regarding the physical fitness, results are still inconclusive, since findings from other studies suggest that the anaerobic power of our sample is alike or lower than other elite players throughout the world. Likewise indirect VO₂max, especially given the acknowledged limitations of obtaining indirectly this variable. In addition, making an analysis by playing position, the results of this study are similar to previous research.

© 2014 Revista Andaluza de Medicina del Deporte.

RESUMEN

Aptitud física y características antropométricas de jugadores profesionales de fútbol de los Emiratos Árabes Unidos

Objetivo. Describir el perfil antropométrico y la aptitud física de los jugadores de fútbol de élite que trabajan en los Emiratos Árabes Unidos.

Métodos. Veintisiete jugadores de fútbol de élite que trabajan en los Emiratos Árabes Unidos fueron sometidos a una evaluación antropométrica, un test incremental en un tapiz rodante y *Running-Based Anaerobic Test* (RAST).

Resultados. El índice de masa corporal y el porcentaje de grasa fueron 23,1 ± 2,0 kg.m⁻² y 11,6 ± 2,1 %, respectivamente. El consumo máximo de oxígeno (VO₂máx) estimado fue 62,3 ± 5,1 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹, y la velocidad media de VO₂máx fue 17,6 ± 1,5 km.h⁻¹, y la velocidad media de umbral ventilatorio (UV) fue 13,8 ± 0,8 km.h⁻¹. La frecuencia cardíaca del UV fue en media 173,1 ± 8,6 b.min⁻¹, lo que representa 91,2 ± 2,8 % de la frecuencia cardíaca máxima. El test RAST resultó en una potencia máxima absoluta de 551,9 ± 73,0 W, potencia máxima relativa de 7,8 ± 0,4 W.kg⁻¹, potencia media absoluta de 484,0 ± 7,8 W, y potencia media relativa de 6,8 ± 0,2 W.kg⁻¹.

Conclusión. El perfil antropométrico de los jugadores de fútbol que trabajan en los Emiratos Árabes Unidos es similar a otros del resto del mundo. Sin embargo, en cuanto a la aptitud física, los resultados aún no son concluyentes, porque los resultados de otros estudios sugieren que la potencia anaerobia de nuestra muestra es igual o inferior a la de otros jugadores de élite de todo el mundo. Del mismo modo, el VO2max indirecto no es concluyente, teniendo en cuenta las limitaciones reconocidas de obtener indirectamente esta variable. Finalmente, al analizar los jugadores de acuerdo con a la posición táctica, los resultados de este estudio son similares a los estudios anteriores.

© 2014 Revista Andaluza de Medicina del Deporte.

INTRODUCTION

Soccer is characterized by acyclic and intermittent actions, such as high intensity sprints, jumps and kicks¹. Studies have demonstrated that some physical qualities are determinant to performance in soccer²⁻³.

Therefore, a significant amount of studies approaching the physical and physiological aspects of professional soccer have been performed. Previous investigations⁴⁻¹³ have evaluated the anthopometric and physical fitness profiles of professional soccer players in most parts of Europe and America. However, there is still little descriptive data over these characteristics in elite soccer players from the Asian continent, especially in the Arab world. Characteristics such as body composition (body fat percentage and body mass index), anaerobic power (speed), aerobic power (maximum oxygen uptake), aerobic capacity (anaerobic threshold) among other factors are determinant to the development of training and the performance of professional soccer players^{1-2,14-18}.

Thus, the better understanding of the anthropometric and physical fitness profiles of professional soccer players acting in the United Arab Emirates could provide more information to coaches and physiologists on this specific group of athletes, favoring training and, consequently, the athlete's performance. Hence, the aim of the present study was to describe the anthropometric and physical fitness profiles of elite soccer players acting in the United Arab Emirates.

METHOD

Sample

The present study has a cross-sectional, analytic and descriptive nature in which participated 27 elite soccer players acting in the United Arab Emirates (table 1). All participants were instructed to refrain from physical exercise and not to ingest alcohol or caffeine in the 24 hours that preceded the experimental procedures. After being informed of the risks and benefits of the study and gave a written informed consent, all individuals were submitted to an anthropometric evaluation, an incremental test in a treadmill, and an anaerobic power test in an athletics track. Finally, the sample was divided into five groups as follows: goalkeepers, full backs, sideways, midfield and forwards, for comparison of anthropometric variables (BMI and body fat percentage) and physical fitness (aerobic and anaerobic) between the different groups. All procedures were approved by the ethics committee of University research center UNIRG (process n° 0001/2008).

Anthropometric measurements (body mass index and boy fat percentage)

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated considering the quotient between body mass (Toledo 2096 PP, Brazil) in kilograms, and stature (SECA® 214, USA) in squared meters (kg.m⁻²).

Relative body fat percentage (BF%) was estimated using the skinfold thickness technique, in which body density was calculated using the 7 skinfold thicknesses protocol proposed by Jackson and Pollock¹⁹, measured three times at each point, in a rotational order, on the right side of the body, with the mean value of the measurements being registered. All procedures were performed by a single evaluator using a skinfold caliper (Lange, Cambridge Scientific Instruments, Maryland, USA). Afterwards, body density was converted in BF% using Siri's equation²⁰.

Table 1

Anthropometric characteristics. Data expressed in mean and (\pm) standard deviation (n = 27)

Age (years)	Body weight (kg)	Height (cm)	BMI (kg.m ⁻²)	Body fat (%)	
23.8 ± 4.8	70.8 ± 8.0	175.1 ± 6.4	23.1 ± 2.0	11.6 ± 2.1	
PMI:body mass index					

BMI:body mass index.

Physical fitness

Running-Based Anaerobic Sprint Test

Determination of anaerobic power was performed using the Running-Based Anaerobic Sprint Test (RAST). The RAST test consisted of six 35 meters maximal runs separated by a period of 10 secs of passive recovery. The recorded time was conducted after every effort by a stop watch (Casio HS-80TW). Power (P), in Watts (W), for each sprint was calculated through the product of body mass (BM), in kilograms (kg), and the distance (35m) raised to the second power. Afterwards, this result was divided by the time of each sprint (T), in seconds (s), raised to the third power.

Registered anaerobic parameters of the RAST were: maximum power (Pmax; highest value in the six sprints); and mean power (Pmean; mean power in the six sprints). In addition, Pmax and Pmean values in relation to body mass (W.kg⁻¹) of each athlete were also calculated.

Ventilatory threshold

An incremental test (IT) was performed in a treadmill (Super ATL, Imbramed, São Paulo, Brazil) at an initial speed of 7 km.h⁻¹, followed by increments of 1 km.h⁻¹ at each minute until volitional exhaustion. Heart rate was registered during all procedures (Polar® S810i, Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland).

Ventilatory threshold (VT) was determined as the moment in which occurred an exponential increase in ventilation (VE)²¹ according to the ventilometer used (Flowmet, Micromed, Guará, Brasília, Brazil).

Prediction of maximal oxygen uptake (VO₂max)

The equation suggested by the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) was used to predict VO₂max, as follows: $VO_2 = (0.2 \text{ x mean speed} \text{ in m.min}^{-1}) + 3.5^{22}$.

Statistical procedures

The normality of the data was analyzed using the Shapiro-Wilk's test. Results of all investigated variables are expressed in mean and (\pm) standard deviation.

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the anthopometric characteristcs of the evaluated players.

RAST test showed that the evaluated players presented a maximum absolute power (Pmax) of 551.9 \pm 73.0 W, maximum relative power (Pmax-relative) of 7.8 \pm 0.4 W.kg⁻¹, mean absolute power (Pmean) of 484.0 \pm 57.8 W, and mean relative power (Pmean-relative) of 6.8 \pm 0.2 W.kg⁻¹ (table 2).

In addition, velocity and heart rate at ventilatory threshold, as well as at the time of exhaustion (VO_2max) were also measured. The athletes presented mean velocity at VT of 13.8 ± 0.8 km.h⁻¹, heart rate at VT of

Table 2

Absolute and relative values related to maximum and mean anaerobic power obtained by RAST. Data expressed in mean and (\pm) standard deviation (n = 27)

Max power (W)	Relative max power (W.kg ⁻¹)	Mean power (W)	Relative mean power (W.kg ⁻¹)
551.9 ± 73.0	7.8 ± 0.4	484 ± 57.8	6.8 ± 0.2

Max power: maximum anaerobic power; Mean power: mean anaerobic power.

Table 3

Velocity and heart rate at ventilatory threshold and at VO_2max , maximum heart rate and VO_2max during incremental test. Data expressed in mean and (±) standard deviation (n = 27)

VT	HRVT	VT	VO ₂ max		VO ₂ max
(km.h ⁻¹)	(b.min ⁻¹)	(%HRmax)	(km.h ⁻¹)		(ml.kg ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹)
13.8 ± 0.8	173.1 ± 8.6	91.2 ± 2.8	17.6 ± 1.5	189.9 ± 10.5	62.3 ± 5.1

HRVT: heart rate at ventilatory threshold; Max HR: maximum heart rate; VO₂max (km.h⁻¹): velocity at VO₂ma x.; VT: velocity at ventilatory threshold; VT (%HRmax): percentage of heart rate at ventilatory threshold.

173.1 \pm 8.6 b.min⁻¹, heart rate percentage at VT of 91.2 \pm 2.8 %, maximum heart rate of 189.9 \pm 10.5 b.min⁻¹, VO₂max of 62.3 \pm 5.1 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ and mean velocity at VO₂max of 17.6 \pm 1.5 km.h⁻¹ (table 3).

Lastly, all data (anthropometric and physical fitness) separated by position (goalkeepers, full backs, sideways, midfielders and forwards) are presented in table 4. No statistical comparisons were made between the different positions due to the low number of subjects in each group. However, apparently, there were no differences between the parameters of anaerobic fitness related (Pmax-relative [W.kg⁻¹] and Pmean-relative [W.kg⁻¹]) (table 4). On the other hand, goalkeepers and full backs have VO₂max values visually inferior to their peers from other positions (midfielders, sideways and forwards). Furthermore, midfielders, sideways and forwards, apparently, have lower values of BMI and body fat percentage than goalkeepers and full backs.

DISCUSSION

The main findings of the present study describe the anthropometric and physical fitness profiles of elite soccer players acting in the United Arab Emirates. Regarding anthropometry, the studied sample presented similar BMI (23.10 kg.m⁻²) to the ones found by Al-Hazzaa et al.¹¹ in Saudi Arabia (23.30 kg.m⁻²), Bangsbo²³ in Denmark (23.87 kg.m⁻²), Bunc and Psotta²⁴ in Czech Republic (23.58 kg.m⁻²), Casajús²⁵ in Spain (24.34 kg.m⁻²), Faina et al.²⁶ in Italy (23.69 kg.m⁻²), Helgerud et al.¹ in Norway (23.18 kg.m⁻²), Matkovic et al.²⁷ in Croatia (24.16 kg.m⁻²), Rahkila and Luthanen²⁸ in Finland (23.35 kg.m⁻²), and Vanfraechem and Tomas²⁹ in Belgium (23.41 kg.m⁻²), all first division teams at the time.

When comparing body fat percentage, our results (11.6 ± 2.1 BF%) agreed with the ones found by other authors, such as Prado et al.³⁰, who found values around 11.19 BF% in 118 Brazilian soccer players, and Silva et al.³¹ and Santos³² whose mean values showed 11.1 ± 1,3 and 11.4 ± 2.6 BF%, respectively.

In addition, Aziz et al.³³, evaluating BF% in elite player from the Singaporean League, presented similar results to the ones obtained in the present study, independently from the player's position, being 11.9 ± 2.8 for goalkeepers, 11.0 ± 2.8 for defensive players, 11.7 ± 2.0 for midfielders, and 10.9 ± 2.3 BF% for offensive players.

However, Chin et al.⁸ and Muniroglu and Koz³⁴, when evaluating athletes from Hong Kong and Turkey, respectively, demonstrated lower values when compared to the ones of the present study, being 7.3 ± 3.0 BF% for the athletes from Hong Kong and $7,43 \pm 1,67$ BF% for the ones from Turkey. The difference found between the values exposed above could de partially explained by the dissimilar moment of evaluation in the training periodization of the investigated teams.

The evaluation of power and anaerobic capacity is of fundamental importance for the development and training of these characteristics in soccer athletes³⁵⁻³⁶.

When analyzing the Pmax and Pmean obtained in the present study, the values found were lower than others reported in previous studies, such as Santos' et al.³⁷ and Campeiz and Oliveira's³⁸, both investigating Brazilian players. These different results suggest that there may be intercontinental factors, such as tactic and technical skills, which can demand a more developed physical fitness when compared to Arab soccer.

However, data referring to aerobic capacity and power (VT and VO₂max, respectively) presented similar or slightly higher values when compared to previous studies. Coelho et al.³⁹ and Casajús²⁵, for instance, found VT velocity between 12.4 ± 1.5 and 12.9 ± 1.2 km.h⁻¹, while in the present study, the athletes presented a mean value of 13.8 ± 0.8 km.h⁻¹. In addition, the sample from the present study reached a higher %HR-max (91.2 ± 1.2%) when compared to Chinese athletes (88.9 ± 3.9%), and similar values to Spanish players (91.0%)^{8.25}.

Oxygen consumption levels found in the present study ($62.3 \pm 5.1 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}$.min⁻¹) were below others available in the scientific literature, such as Chamari's et al.⁴⁰ ($66.6 \pm 5.0 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}$.min⁻¹) in players from the Turkish national team and Casajús'²⁵($66.4 \pm 7.6 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}$.min⁻¹) in Spanish elite players.

On the other hand, other studies approaching oxygen consumption showed results similar to the ones of the present study. Balikian et al.⁴¹, found values of VO₂max of 60.28 ± 6.23 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ for defensive players, 61.12 ± 5.33 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ for wingbacks, and 61.01 ± 7.14 ml.kg⁻¹. min⁻¹ for midfielders. Also, when compared to elite Turkish players³⁴ and from Hong Kong⁸, the present study's findings were higher (62.3 ± 5.1 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ vs. 56.95 ± 4.07 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ vs. 58.8 ± 3.3 ml.kg⁻¹. min⁻¹), respectively.

The analysis of anthropometric variables (BMI and body fat percentage) and physical fitness (Pmax-relative, Pmean-relative, VO₂max and ventilator threshold) by position (goalkeepers, defenders, side, midfielders and attackers) apparently did not show differences regarding the variables of power and anaerobic capacity. Likewise, the study Moro et al.⁴², when comparing the average power between different positions, as well as between teams of professional level, being a team of first division in the Sagres League - Portuguese and other second division Championship Gaúcho - Brazil, found no significant differences intra-team (between positions).

Moreover, Balikian et al.⁴¹ found statistically significant difference for VO_2 max only between goalkeepers over the other positions, similarly to the present study, which found visual differences only between goalkeepers and full backs over the other playing positions.

It is worth highlighting that all studies presented in this discussion obtained oxygen consumption values from direct measurements, while the results found in the present study were estimated through an equation proposed by the American College of Sports Medicine²². This could be acknowledged as a limitation to the present study. Therefore, the VO₂max values presented here should be analyzed with caution.

Regarding the aerobic capacity (ventilatory threshold), apparently, the data of this study are similar to those reported by Balikian et al.⁴¹, who showed that goalkeepers and full backs have a velocity of anaerobic

Table 4

Anthropometric characteristics, absolute and relative values related to maximum and mean anaerobic power obtained by RAST and velocity and heart rate at ventilatory threshold and at VO_2max , maximum heart rate and VO_2max during incremental test (n = 27). Data expressed in mean and (±) standard deviation

Variables	Positions				
	Goalkeepers (n = 3)	Full backs (n = 3)	Sideways (n = 3)	Mildfilders (n = 11)	Forwards (n = 6)
Age (years)	26.3 ± 3.8	25.7 ± 3.8	22.3 ± 4.3	23.0 ± 4.6	24.0 ± 6.7
Weight (kg)	76.7 ± 5.3	74.4 ± 0.8	65.9 ± 6.8	67.7 ± 6.0	75.3 ± 11.4
Height (cm)	177.3 ± 3.1	175.3 ± 1,5	175.0 ± 3.9	174.1 ± 8.5	175.8 ± 7.1
BMI (kg.m ⁻²⁾)	24.4 ± 1.5	24.2 ± 0.4	21.5 ± 1.9	22.3 ± 1.5	24.3 ± 2.5
Body fat (%)	13.3 ± 3.9	13.1 ± 3.3	11.1 ± 1.9	11.2 ± 1.5	11.2 ± 1.4
Max power (W)	588.7 ± 62.5	571.5 ± 14,3	512.7 ± 61.4	526.2 ± 67.2	597.1 ± 92.7
Relative max power (W.kg ⁻¹)	7.7 ± 0.3	7.7 ± 0.3	7.8 ± 0.3	7.8 ± 0.4	7.9 ± 0.5
Mean power (W)	507.2 ± 62.1	509.8 ± 9,1	453.0 ± 48.8	462.9 ± 48.8	522 ± 73.0
Relative mean power (W.kg ⁻¹)	6.6 ± 0.3	6.9 ± 0.1	6.9 ± 0.1	6.8 ± 0.3	7.0 ± 0.1
VT (km.h ⁻¹)	13.1 ± 1.0	13,0 ± 0,0	14.0 ± 0.0	13.9 ± 0.8	14.3 ± 0.5
HRVT (b.min ⁻¹)	169.3 ± 7.4	168.7 ± 7.8	174.0 ± 4.3	175.1 ± 8.9	172.8 ± 11.7
VO ₂ max(ml.kg ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹)	53.5 ± 8.8	59,1 ± 1,9	62.7 ± 4.2	64.4 ± 3.0	64.1 ± 2.5
$VO_2max(km.h^{-1})$	15.0 ± 2.6	16.7 ± 0.6	17.8 ± 1.3	18.3 ± 0.9	18.2 ± 0.8
Max HR (b.min ⁻¹)	179.7 ± 13.5	185.3 ± 2.5	192.5 ± 7.0	192.2 ± 10.9	191.3 ± 11.5

BMI: body mass index; HRVT: heart rate at ventilatory threshold; Max HR: maximum heart rate; Max power: maximum anaerobic power; Mean power: mean anaerobic power; VO₂max (km.h⁻¹): velocity at VO₂max VT: velocity at VO₂max VT: velocity at ventilatory threshold.

threshold identified by onset of blood lactate accumulation (OBLA; 4mM) lower than players of other positions. This can partly be explained by the characteristic of these playing positions (goalkeepers and full backs), since goalkeepers and full backs are those covering smaller distances during a match, making this capacity need not be highly trained and developed in this group of athletes⁴³.

On the other hand, BMI and body fat percentage are apparently higher in goalkeepers and full backs, which is in agreement with the findings of Reilly et al.⁴⁴, who showed that goalkeepers and central defenders have greater body adiposity than players of other positions.

In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that the anthropometric profile of soccer players that act in the United Arab Emirates is similar to others around the world. However, regarding the physical fitness, results are still inconclusive, since findings from other studies suggest that the aerobic power of our sample is alike or lower than other elite players throughout the world. Furthermore, the data for the maximum oxygen consumption also seem to be inconclusive, in that the present study shows lower values than some studies, but higher than others. Moreover, the acknowledged limitations of the equation used infers that the results of this research should be interpreted with caution. In addition, making an analysis by playing position, the results of this study seem to follow the global trend, once the studies analyzed showed data similar to the present investigation.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for granting the scholarships in undergraduate research level (CNPq), MSc (CAPES), PhD (CAPES and CNPq) and of productivity in research (CNPq).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Helgerud J, Engen LC, Wisløff U, Hoff J. Aerobic endurance training improves soccer performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2001;33:1925-31.
- 2. Ekblom B. Applied physiology of soccer. Sports Med. 1986;3:50-60.
- 3. Rampinini E, Coutts AJ, Castagna C, Sassi R, Impellizzeri FM. Variation in top level soccer match performance. Int J Sports Med. 2007;28:1018-24.
- 4. Raven PB, Gettman LR, Pollock ML, Cooper KH. A physiological evaluation of professional soccer players. Br J Sports Med. 1976;10:209-16.
- 5. Rhodes EC, Mosher RE, McKenzie DC, Franks IM, Potts JE, Wenger HA. Physiological profiles of the Canadian Olympic Soccer Team. Can J Appl Sport Sci. 1986;11:31-6.
- 6. Mangine RE, Noyes FR, Mullen MP, Barber SD. A physiological profile of the elite soccer athlete. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1990;12:147-52.
- 7. Bangsbo J, Norregaarg L, Thorso F. Activity profile of competition soccer. Can J Sport Sci. 1991;16:110-6.
- Chin MK, Lo YS, Li CT, So SH. Physiological profiles of Hong Kong elite soccer players. Br J Sports Med. 1992;26:262-6.
- Davies JA, Brewer J, Atkin D. Preseasonal physiological characteristics of English first and second division soccer players. J Sports Sci. 1992;10:541-7.
- 10. Ostojic, SM. Physical and physiological characteristics of elite Serbian soccer players. Facta Univ. 2000;1:23-39.
- 11. Al-Hazzaa HM, Almuzaini KS, Al-Refaee SA, Sulaiman MA, Dafterdar MY, Al-Ghamedi A, et al. Aerobic and anaerobic power characteristics of Saudi elite players. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2001;41:54-61.
- 12. Ostojić, SM. Elite and nonelite soccer players: preseasonal physical and physiological characteristics. Res Sports Med. 2004;12:143-50.
- 13. Hazir T. Physical characteristics and somatotype of soccer players according to playing level and position. J Hum Kinet. 2010;26:83-95.
- Tumilty D. Physiological characteristics of elite soccer players. Sports Med. 1993;16:80-96.
- Rico-Sanz J. Body composition and nutritional assessments in soccer. Int J Sport Nutr. 1998;8:113-23.
- 16. Shephard RJ. Biology and medicine of soccer: an update. J Sports Sci. 1999;17:757-86.
- Ostojic, SM, Zivanic S. Effects of training on anthropometric and physiological characteristics of elite Serbian soccer players. Acta Biol Med Exp. 2001;27:76.
- McMillan K, Helgerud J, Grant SJ, Newell J, Wilson J, Macdonald R, et al. Lactate threshold responses to a season of professional British youth soccer. Br J Sports Med. 2005;39:432-6.
- Jackson AS, Pollock ML. Generalized equations for predicting body density of men. Br J Nutr. 1978;40:497-504.
- Siri WE. Body composition from fluid spaces and density. Analysis of methods. En: Brozek J, Henschel A, editors. Techniques for Measuring Body Composition. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council; 1961. p. 223-44.
- 21. Neder JA, Stein R. A simplified strategy for the estimation of the exercise ventilatory thresholds. Med Sci Sports Exercise. 2006;38(5):1007-13.

22. ACSM - American College of Sports Medicine. Guidelines for graded exercise testing and exercise prescription. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger; 1975.

 Bangsbo J. The physiology of soccer: with special reference to intense intermittent exercise. Acta Physiol Scand Suppl. 1994;619:1-155. Review.

- 24. Bunc V, Psotta R. Physiological profile of very young soccer players. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2001;41:337-41.
- Casajús AJ. Seasonal variation in fitness variables in professional soccer players. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2001;41:463-9.
- Faina M, Gallozzi C, Lupo S, Colli R, Sassi R, Marini C. Definition of the physiological profile of the soccer player. In: Reilly T, Lees A, Davids K, Murphy WJ, eds. Science and Football. London, UK: E and FN Spon, 1988: 158-63.
- Matkovic BR, Jankovic S, Heimer S. Physiological profile of top Croatian soccer players. En: Reilly T, Clarys J, Stibbe A, editors. Science and football II. London: E&FN Spon; 1993. p. 37-9.
- 28. Rahkila P, Luthanen P. Physical fitness profile of Finnish national soccer team candidates. Sci Football; 1989;2:30-3.
- 29. Vanfraechem JHP, Tomas M. Maximal aerobic power and ventilatory threshold of a top level soccer team. En: Reilly T, Clarys J, Stibbe A, editors. Science and football II. London: E&FN Spon; 1993. p. 43-6.
- Prado WL, Botero JP, Guerra RLF, Rodrigues CL, Cuvello LC, Dâmaso AR. Perfil antropométrico e ingestão de macronutrientes em atletas profissionais brasileiros de futebol, de acordo com suas posições. Rev Bras Med Esporte. 2006;12:61-5.
- Silva JF, Guglielmo LGA, Dittrich N, Floriano LT, Arins FB. Relação entre aptidão aeróbia e capacidade de sprints repetidos no futebol: efeito do protocolo. Rev Bras Cineantropom Desempenho Hum. 2011;13:111-6.
- Santos JAR. Estudo comparativo, fisiológico, antropométrico e motor entre futebolistas de diferente nível competitivo. Rev Paul Educ Fís. 1999;13:146-59.
- Aziz AR, Tan F, Yeo A, Teh KC. Physiological attributes of professional players in the Singapore soccer league. (Part III: physiology and kinanthropometry). J Sport Sci. 2004;22(6):522(2).

- Muniroglu S, Koz M. The physical and physiological properties of football players from a turkish professional first-division football league. Sport Journal. 2006;9:1.
- Bradley PS, Di Mascio M, Peart D, Olsen P, Sheldon B. High-intensity activity profiles of elite soccer players at different performance levels. J Strength Cond Res. 2010;24:2343-51.
- 36. Asano RY, Bartholomeu Neto J, Ribeiro DBG, Barbosa AS, Sousa MAF. Potência anaeróbia em jogadores jovens de futebol: comparação entre três categorias de base de um clube competitivo. Braz J Biomotricity. 2009;3:76-82.
- Santos D, Coledam DHC, Santos JW. Alterações na potência anaeróbia após a pré-temporada em atletas profissionais de futebol. Mov Percepção. 2009;10:254-63.
- Campeiz JM, Oliveira PR. Análise comparativa de variáveis antropométricas e anaeróbias de futebolistas profissionais, juniores e juvenis. Mov Percepção. 2006;6:58-84.
- Coelho DB, Mortimer LÁCF, Condessa LA, Soares DD, Barros CLM, García ES. Limiar anaeróbio de jogadores de futebol de diferentes categorias. Rev Bras Cineantropom Desempenho Hum. 2009;11:81-7.
- 40. Chamari K, Moussa-Chamari I, Boussai di L, Hachana Y, Kaouech F, Wisløff U. Appropriate interpretation of aerobic capacity: allometric scaling in adult and young soccer players. Br J Sports Med. 2005;39:97-101.
- Balikian P, Lourenção A, Ribeiro LFP, Festuccia WTLF, Neiva CM. Consumo máximo de oxigênio e limiar anaeróbico de jogadores de futebol: comparação entre as diferentes posições. Rev Bras Med Esporte. 2002;8:32-6.
- Moro VL, Fuke K, Cancian L, Matheus SC, Moro, AR. Capacidade anaeróbia em futebolistas de diferentes níveis competitivos: Comparação entre diferentes posições de jogo. Motricidade. 2012;8:71-80.
- Di Salvo V, Baron R, Tschan H, Calderon Montero FJ, Bachl N, Pigozzi F. Performance characteristics according to playing position in elite soccer. Int J Sports Med. 2007;28:222-7.
- 44. Reilly T, Bangsbo J, Franks, A. Anthropometric and physiological predispositions for elite soccer. J Sports Sci. 2000;18:669-83.